

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,807	10/10/2006	Susanne Kessler	SAW0033	4892
832 BAKER & DA	7590 12/07/200 NIFLS LLP	EXAMINER		
111 E. WAYN		MAEWALL, SNIGDHA		
SUITE 800 FORT WAYN	F. IN 46802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,807	KESSLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Snigdha Maewall	1612		

	Snigdha Maewall	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:13 after SIX (6) MCNITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO print off or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the communication of the	TE OF THIS COMN 6(a). In no event, however, ill apply and will expire SIX (in cause the application to bec	MUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Jan 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This: 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Example.	action is non-final. ce except for formal		ierits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) objecte Irawing(s) be held in a on is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received have been received ty documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National St.	age				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure-Statems.nt(e) (PTO/SE/08) Paper Not/SyMail Date	Pape	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application er:					

Application/Control Number: 10/599,807 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-27 are pending:

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 1 and 3-6 are drawn to use of glass composition with combination of agents to maximum of 99.5%, all other others besides silver oxide are from zero to some percentages.
- Claim 2 is drawn to use of glass composition with combination of various agents in particular to maximum of 80% by weight.
- III. Claim 7, 11-16 and 19 to glass composition...
- IV. Claim 8 is drawn to glass composition wherein sum of ingredients is more than 1 percent by weight.
- Claim 9 is drawn to glass composition wherein additional ingredients are added with specific percentages...

VI. Claim 10 is drawn to glass composition wherein J ranges from 3 to 70

percent by weight...

VII. Claims 17-18 and 20-21 are drawn to ion releasing glass ceramic with J

greater than 0.0001...

VIII. Claims 22-26 are drawn to glasionomer cement, with or without ion-

releasing antimicrobial glass ceramic as per claim 7.

IX. Claims 27 is drawn to coating or veneering material...

The inventions listed as Groups I to IX do not relate to a single general inventive

concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups I to IX do not

have a common technical feature that distinguishes the claims over the prior art.

comprising silver oxide for tooth filling. Prior art by WO 03/018498 which teaches glass

The common technical feature found in all groups is use of glass composition

composition comprising silver oxide up to 5%. Thus, the technical feature is lacking

unity.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

From Group VIII:

Species 1 corresponds to polymer with ion releasing glasionomer and ion releasing

antimicrobial, claims 22-26

Application/Control Number: 10/599,807

Art Unit: 1612

Species 2 corresponds to an ion releasing antimicrobial ceramic according to claim 7 in claim 22-26

Applicant is required to elect only one species out of the above 2 species from claims 22-26.

From Group I, Applicant is required to elect single species of use i.e. use for coating or filling or veneering from claim 3.

Claims [1, 2, 7-10, 17, 22 and 27] are generic.

alkaline earth metals for dental materials

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The single technical feature among the species are ion releasing antimicrobial. If it can be shown that one species is not novel over the prior art, then unity of invention is lacking.

In instant case, this is evidenced by WO 02/072038 wherein the prior art discloses a composition comprising antimicrobial anti-inflammatory glass powder and use of

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/599,807

Art Unit: 1612

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Snigdha Maewall whose telephone number is (571)272-6197. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday; 8:30 a.m. to
5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-0580. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 10/599,807 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Snigdha Maewall/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612 /Gollamudi S Kishore/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612